

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**PCT****INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY**
(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 040285 PM	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001687	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 18 February 2005 (18.02.2005)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 04 March 2004 (04.03.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant DEGUSSA AG			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the report
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

	Date of issuance of this report 01 November 2006 (01.11.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac e-mail: pt11@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT
TRANSLATION

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
Applicant's or agent's file reference 040285 PM		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/EP2005/001687	International filing date (day/month/year) 18.02.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 04.03.2004	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B41M5/26, B41M5/28, C08K7/00, B29C65/16			
Applicant DEGUSSA AG			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- Box No. II Priority
- Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/001687

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 a sequence listing
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 in written format
 in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 contained in the international application as filed.
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/EP2005/001687
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																			
<p>1. Statement</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;">Novelty (N)</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Claims _____</td> <td style="width: 30%;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Claims</td> <td><u>1, 14, 15</u></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Claims</td> <td><u>1, 14, 15</u></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims <u>1-17</u></td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims _____</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table>			Novelty (N)	Claims _____	YES	Claims	<u>1, 14, 15</u>	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims _____	YES	Claims	<u>1, 14, 15</u>	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-17</u>	YES		Claims _____	NO
Novelty (N)	Claims _____	YES																		
Claims	<u>1, 14, 15</u>	NO																		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims _____	YES																		
Claims	<u>1, 14, 15</u>	NO																		
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-17</u>	YES																		
	Claims _____	NO																		
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Reference is made to the following document:</p> <p>D1: WO 02/060988 A (SOLUTIA, INC) 8 August 2002 (2002-08-08)</p> <p>1 Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))</p> <p>Present main claims 1, 14 and 15 describe a plastic material, its use, and a process in connection with resins which have been made laser-weldable by means of nanoscale fillers.</p> <p>Document D1 discloses (the references between parentheses refer to this document): a PVB composition which contains nanoscale fillers according to claims 1 and 6 (cf. 2.3, para. 4 and claim 1).</p> <p>Absorption in the wavelength range in question is mentioned. Present main claims 1, 14 and 15 are therefore partly affected in a manner prejudicial to their novelty.</p> <p>2 Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))</p> <p>The applicant is requested to emphasize the distinguishing technical feature, where appropriate after modification of the main claim, and either to associate this feature with a surprising technical effect or to demonstrate convincingly that this feature is not readily derivable from the teaching of the prior art.</p>																				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2005/001687

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.10)

Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day/month/year)
WO 2005/021244 A	10.03.2005	10.08.2004	27.08.2003

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 43bis.1 and 70.9)

Kind of non-written disclosure	Date of non-written disclosure (day/month/year)	Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure (day/month/year)

See form 210